

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/470.735	5 06/06/95	ISRAELI	R	41426-D/JPW/

HM21/1211

JOHN F WHITE COOPER AND DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036

EX	AMINER
GUCKER	,5
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1645	16

DATE MAILED:

12/11/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

	_, ,,,	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
•	a) 🗆	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b	» 🗆	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	/	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Ċ		pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
N	Ap to	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11/12/98 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1.	. 🗆	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
		 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	•	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE:
		NOTE:
		the non-allowable claims.
3.	. 🗆	be as follows:
		Claims allowed: 94-96 + 98-101
		Claims objected to:
		,
		However;
		,
	ante	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because faving disclining the art a utomatically fluid to the concent that it can be excluded for respective limitates.
like Exp	arte	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because barried bloods and automatically flood to the concept that it can be excluded by regative limitates larks, the instant application dola not contain a "discussion which would seem to cry
	arte	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Lawing durbs that a deligation and a submatically light to the concent that at can be lacteded by missited limitates.
like Exp	arte . 🗆	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because baving fluids to the concept that it can be lacked by registered limitation. It is instant application does not overcome the rejection because limitation. It is instant application does not overcome the rejection because limitation. It is instant application does not overcome the rejection because limitation. It is instant application does not overcome the rejection because laving that it is a finished. It is a supplication does not overcome the rejection because laving limitation. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
like Exp	The	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because faving disclosed automatically float to the concept that it can be included by regative flowing the large and a discussion which would seem to contain a "discussion which would seem to contain a "discussion which would seem to contain the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Proposed drawing correction \[\] has \[\] has not been approved by the examiner.
like Exp	The	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because baring blacks, the instant application because and contain a "discussion which would selem to cry the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. TEII-C5 But the following the container of an antibody that the concept of an antibody that
like Exfo	The	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because basing disclosured automatically flood to the concept that it can be lacked by registered limitates. Racks, the instant application does not contain a "discussion which would selem to cry The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. TEII-C5 But the concept of an antibody that the concept of an antibody that the the ringle species disclosed, as opposed to genus of catalysts excluded in far
like Exfo	The	However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because faving disclosed automatically float to the concept that it can be included by regative flowing the large and a discussion which would seem to contain a "discussion which would seem to contain a "discussion which would seem to contain the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Proposed drawing correction \[\] has \[\] has not been approved by the examiner.